## Distributional Hypothesis

- Zellig Harris: words that occur in the same contexts tend to have similar meanings
- Firth: a word is known (characterized) by the company it keeps
- Statistical basis for lexical semantics.
- ► How can we learn computational representations of words
  - ► Representational learning: unsupervised
  - Contrast with feature engineering

#### Lemmas and Senses

- Lemma or citation form: general form of a word (e.g., mouse)
  - May have multiple senses
  - May come in multiple parts of speech
  - ▶ May cover variants (word forms) such as for plurals, gender, ...
- ► Homonymous lemmas
  - With multiple senses
  - Challenges in word sense disambiguation
- Principle of contrast: difference in form indicates difference in meaning

# Synonyms and Antonyms

- Synonyms: Words with identical meanings
  - Interchangeable without affecting propositional meaning
  - ► Are there any true synonyms?
- Antonyms: Words with opposite meanings
  - Opposite ends of a scale
  - Antonyms would be more similar than different
- Reversives: subclass of antonyms
  - Movement in opposite directions, e.g., rise versus fall

# Word Similarity

Crucial for solving many important NL tasks

- Similarity: Ask people
- ightharpoonup Relatedness pprox association in psychology, e.g., coffee and cup
  - Semantic field: domain, e.g., surgery
  - Indicates relatedness, e.g., surgeon and scalpel

## Vector Space Model

#### Foundation of information retrieval since early 1960s

- ► Term-document matrix
  - ► A row for each word (term)
  - A column for each document
  - ► Each cell being the number of occurrences
  - Dimensions
    - $\blacktriangleright$  Number of possible words in the corpus, e.g.,  $\approx [10^4, 10^5]$
    - Size of corpus, i.e., number of documents: highly variable (small, if you talk only of Shakespeare; medium, if New York Times; large, if Wikipedia or Yelp reviews)
- ► The vectors (distributions of words) provide some insight into the content even though they lose word order and grammatical structure

#### Document Vectors and Word Vectors

- Document vector: each column vector represents a document
  - ► The document vectors are sparse
  - ► Each vector is a point in the 10<sup>5</sup> dimensional space (one dimension per word)
- Word vector: each row vector represents a word
  - Better extracted from another matrix

#### Word-Word Matrix

- $ightharpoonup |V| \times |V|$  matrix
  - Each row and column: a word
  - ► Each cell: number of times the row word appears in the *context* of the column word
  - The context could be
    - ► Entire document ⇒ co-occurrence in a document
    - ▶ Sliding window (e.g.,  $\pm 4$  words)  $\Rightarrow$  co-occurrence in the window

## Measuring Similarity

▶ Inner product ≡ dot product: Addition of element-wise products

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = \sum_{i} v_{i} w_{i}$$

- Highest for similar vectors
- Zero for orthogonal (dissimilar) vectors
- Inner product is biased by vector length

$$|\vec{v}| = \sqrt{\sum_{i} v_i^2}$$

Cosine of the vectors: Inner product divided by length of each

$$\cos(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{|\vec{v}||\vec{w}|}$$

- Normalize to unit length vectors if length doesn't matter
  - ► Cosine = inner product (when normalized for length)
  - Not suitable for applications based on clustering, for example

### TF-IDF: Term Frequency–Inverse Document Frequency

Basis of relevance; used in information retrieval

► TF: higher frequency indicates higher relevance

$$\mathsf{tf}_{t,d} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 + \mathsf{log}_{10} \, \mathsf{count}(t,d) & \mathsf{if} \, \mathsf{count}(t,d) \ \mathsf{otherwise} \end{array} 
ight.$$

► IDF: terms that occur selectively are more valuable when they do occur

$$\mathsf{idf}_t = \mathsf{log}_{10} \, \frac{\mathsf{N}}{\mathsf{df}_t}$$

- N is the total number of documents in the corpus
- ightharpoonup df<sub>t</sub> is the number of occurrences in which t occurs
- TF-IDF weight

$$w_{t,d} = \mathsf{tf}_{t,d} \times \mathsf{idf}_t$$

▶ These weights become the vector elements

### Applying TF-IDF Vectors

- ► Word similarity as cosine of their vectors
- Define a document vector as the mean (centroid)

$$d_D = \frac{\sum_{t \in D} \vec{w_t}}{|D|}$$

- ▶ *D*: document
- $\triangleright$   $w_t$ : TF-IDF vector for term t

# Pointwise Mutual Information (PMI)

How often two words co-occur relative to if they were independent

For a target word w and a context word c

$$PMI(w,c) = \lg \frac{P(w,c)}{P(w)P(c)}$$

- ▶ Negative: less often than naïvely expected by chance
- ► Zero: exactly as naïvely expected by chance
- ▶ Positive: more often than naïvely expected by chance
- Not feasible to estimate for low values
  - ▶ If  $P(w) = P(c) = 10^{-6}$ , is  $P(w,c) \ge 10^{-12}$ ?
- PPMI: Positive PMI

$$\mathsf{PPMI}(w_i, c_j) = \max(\lg \frac{P(w, c)}{P(w)P(c)}, 0)$$

#### Estimating PPMI: Positive Pointwise Mutual Information

▶ Given co-occurrence matrix  $F = W \times C$ , estimate cells

$$p_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\sum_{i}^{W} \sum_{j}^{C} f_{ij}}$$

Sum across columns to get a word's frequency

$$p_{i*} = \sum_{j}^{C} p_{ij}$$

► Sum across rows to get a context's frequency

$$p_{*j} = \sum_{i}^{W} p_{ij}$$

▶ Plug in these estimates into the PPMI definition

$$\mathsf{PPMI}(w,c) = \mathsf{max}(\lg \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{i*} \times p_{*i}}, 0)$$

## Correcting PPMI's Bias

- ▶ PPMI is biased: gives high values to rare words
  - ▶ Replace P(c) by  $P_{\alpha}(c)$

$$P_{\alpha}(c) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(c)^{\alpha}}{\sum_{d} \operatorname{count}(d)^{\alpha}}$$

- Heuristically suggested  $\alpha = 0.75$
- Improved definition for PPMI

$$\mathsf{PPMI}(w,c) = \max(\lg \frac{P(w,c)}{P(w)P_{\alpha}(c)},0)$$

#### Word2Vec

- TF-IDF vectors are long and sparse
- How can we achieve short and dense vectors?
  - ▶ 50–500 dimensions
  - ▶ Dimensions of 100 and 300 are common
- Easier to learn on: fewer parameters
- Superior generalization and avoidance of overfitting
  - Better for synonymy since the words aren't themselves the dimensions

# Skip Gram with Negative Sampling

#### Representation learning

- Instead of counting co-occurrence
- ▶ Train a classifier on a binary task: whether a word w will co-occur with another word v ( $\approx$  context)
- Implicit supervision—gold standard for free!
  - ► If we observe that *v* and *w* co-occur, then that's a positive label for the above classifier
  - ▶ A target word and a context word are positive examples
  - ► Other words, which don't occur in the target's context, are negative examples
- With a context window of  $\pm 2$   $(c_{1:4})$ , consider this snippet ...lemon, a tablespoon of apricot jam, a pinch of ...  $c_1$   $c_2$  t  $c_3$   $c_4$ 
  - $\triangleright$  Estimate probability P(yes|t,c)

### Skip Gram Probability Estimation

- ▶ Intuition:  $P(\text{yes}|t,c) \propto \text{similarity}(t,c)$ 
  - ▶ That is, the embeddings of co-occurring words are similar vectors
- Similarity is given by inner product, which is not a probability distribution
- Transform via sigmoid

$$P(\mathsf{yes}|t,c) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t \cdot c}}$$

$$P(\mathsf{no}|t,c) = \frac{e^{-t \cdot c}}{1 + e^{-t \cdot c}}$$

 Naïve (but effective) assumption that context words are mutually independent

$$P(\text{yes}|t, c_{1:k}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t \cdot c_i}}$$

# Learning Skip Gram Embeddings

- Positive examples from the window
- Negative examples couple the target word with a random word (≠ target)
- Number of negative samples controlled by a parameter
- Probability of selecting a random word from the lexicon
  - Uniform
  - Proportional to frequency: won't hit rarer words a lot
  - ▶ Discounted as in the PPMI calculations, with  $\alpha = 0.75$

$$P_{\alpha}(w) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w)^{\alpha}}{\sum_{v} \operatorname{count}(v)^{\alpha}}$$

- Maximize similarity with positive examples
- Minimize similarity with negative examples
  - ► Maximize and minimize inner products, respectively

# Learning Skip Gram Embeddings by Gradient Descent

- Two concurrent representations for each word
  - As target
  - As context
- ▶ Randomly initialize W (each column is a target) and C (each row is a context) matrices
- ▶ Iteratively, update *W* and *C* to increase similarity for target-context pairs and reduce similarity for target-noise pairs
- At the end, do any of these
  - Discard C
  - $\triangleright$  Sum or average  $W^T$  and C
  - ► Concatenate vectors for each word from W and C
- Complexity increases with size of context and number of noise words considered

# CBOW: Continuous Bag of Words

Alternative formulation and architecture to skip gram

- Skip gram: maximize classification of words given nearby words
  - Predict the context
- CBOW
  - Classify the middle word given the context
- CBOW versus skip gram
  - CBOW is faster to train
  - ► CBOW is better on frequent words
  - ► CBOW requires more data

# Semantic Properties of Embeddings

#### Semantics $\approx$ meaning

- Context window size
  - ► Shorter: immediate context ⇒ more syntactic
    - $\blacktriangleright$  ±2 Hogwarts  $\rightarrow$  Sunnydale (school in a fantasy series)
  - ► Longer: richer context ⇒ more semantic
    - Topically related even if not similar
    - $\blacktriangleright$  ±5 Hogwarts  $\rightarrow$  Dumbledore, half-blood
- Syntagmatic association: first-order co-occurrence
  - When two words often occur near each other
  - Wrote vis à vis book, poem
- Paradigmatic association: second-order co-occurrence
  - ▶ When two words often occur near the same other words
  - ► Wrote vis à vis said, remarked

# Analogy

#### A remarkable illustration of the magic of word embeddings

- Common to visualize embeddings by reducing the dimensions to two
  - t-SNE (T-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding), which produces a small dimension representation that respects similarity (Euclidean distance) between vectors
- Offsets (differences) between vectors reflect analogical relations
  - $\overrightarrow{\text{king}} \overrightarrow{\text{man}} + \overrightarrow{\text{woman}} \approx \overrightarrow{\text{queen}}$   $\overrightarrow{\text{Paris}} \overrightarrow{\text{France}} + \overrightarrow{\text{Italy}} \approx \overrightarrow{\text{Rome}}$

  - Similar ones for
    - Brother:Sister::Nephew:Niece
    - Brother:Sister::Uncle:Aunt

#### Language Evolution

- ► Changes in meanings over time
- Consider corpora divided over time (decades)
- Framing changes, e.g., in news media
  - ▶ Obesity: lack of self-discipline in individuals ⇒ poor choices of ingredients by the food industry
- Likewise, changing biases with respect to ethnic names or female names

#### Bias

- Word embeddings discover biases in language and highlight them
  - $\underbrace{(\mathsf{From}\ \mathsf{news}\ \mathsf{text})}_{\ \ \overrightarrow{\mathsf{man}} \ \overrightarrow{\mathsf{programmer}} + \ \overrightarrow{\mathsf{woman}}} \approx \overbrace{\mathsf{homemaker}}^{\ \ \ }$   $\underbrace{\mathsf{homemaker}}_{\ \ \ \ \ } + \underbrace{\mathsf{woman}}_{\ \ \ \ \ } \approx \widehat{\mathsf{homemaker}}$
- GloVE (an embedding approach) discovers implicit association biases
  - Against African Americans
  - Against old people
- Sometimes these biases would be hidden and simply misdirect the applications of embeddings, e.g., as features for machine learning
- These biases could also be read explicitly as "justification" by a computer of someone's bias

#### **Evaluation of Embeddings**

- ▶ Use manually labeled data, e.g., on conceptual similarity or analogies
- Use existing language tests, e.g., TOEFL (Test of English as a Foreign Language)

#### fastText

- Deals with unknown words
- Uses character-level, i.e., subword, n-grams
  - ► ⟨ word start
  - ) word end
  - Where ⇒ where, ⟨wh, whe, her, ere, re⟩ (original plus five trigrams)
- Learn the skipgram embedding for each n-gram
- Obtain word embedding as sum of the embeddings of its n-grams