

An Argument Abstractly in Rhetoric

Due to Stephen Toulmin, 1958




An Argument as Rationale

Call this the Argument for Unrestricted Access




Arguments can Attack Other Arguments

Call this the Argument for Blocking Malicious Locations



Identify Revised Claim

One that resists the rebuttal



Argumentation Schemes (and Critical Questions)

- Scheme: Pattern for constructing an argument
 - Represents the inferential structure of an argument
 - ► Can be applied recursively to different elements
 - Identifies when it is applicable
 - ▶ Brings up specific critical questions
- Critical guestion
 - Depend upon the argumentation scheme being applied
 - Summarizing an argument may involve identifying critical questions and how they are answered

Example Scheme: Argument from Cause to Effect

- Scheme
 - Generally, if cause A occurs, then effect B will or might occur
 - In this case, A occurs or might occur
 - ▶ Therefore, in this case, B will or might occur
- Critical questions
 - ▶ How strong and reliable is the causal generalization?
 - Is any evidence cited to warrant the causal generalization?
 - ▶ If so, is that evidence strong enough?
 - ► Are there other factors that would interfere with or counteract the production of the effect?

Walton's Argumentation Schemes

Informal logic

Argument from Sign Argument from an Exceptional Case

Argument from Analogy Argument from Bias

Argument from Cause to Effect Argument from Correlation to Causes

Argument from Established Rule Argument from Evidence to a Hypothesis

Argument from Falsification of a Hypothesis

Argument from Example Argument from Commitment

Circumstantial Argument Against the Person

Argument from Popular Practice Argument from Popularity

Argument from Position to Know Argument from Expert Opinion

Argument from Precedent Argument from Consequences

Argument from Waste Argument from Verbal Classification

Argument from Vagueness of a Verbal Classification

Argument from Arbitrariness of a Verbal Classification

Argument from Gradualism Full Slippery Slope Argument

Causal Slippery Slope Argument Precedent Slippery Slope Argument

Plausible Argument from Ignorance Deductive Argument from Ignorance

Ethotic Argument (based on ethos)

NLP Challenges

Analyzing and summarizing arguments in text or dialog

- Argument mining
 - How can we extract the structure of an argument?
 - ▶ How can we identify the argument schemes used in an argument?
- Authoring arguments
 - ► How can we help select an argumentation scheme from a partial argument?
 - How can we raise critical questions to guide the authoring?

Arguments as Dialogues

Walton

- Persuasive
- Deliberative: decide on a course of action
- Inquiry: scientific or public inquiry
- Negotiation
- ▶ Information seeking: interview or soliciting advice
- ► Eristic (polemical): quarrel

Traditional formal approaches emphasize entire arguments, not how they are constructed interactively

Relationships Between Arguments

The terminology is not stable Mostly doesn't follow Toulmin

- Support
 - ▶ Reinforce the claim (i.e., conclusion): parallel argument
 - Reinforce a premise
 - Reinforce the warrant
- Attack
 - Attack the conclusion: rebut
 - ► Attack a premise: undercut (sometimes undermine)

NLP for Arguments

- Considers attack and support relations
 - Current work, not so much on components of such relations
- ▶ Identify claims, premises, supports, attacks in text
 - (1) Museums and art galleries provide a better understanding about arts than Internet. (2) In most museums and art galleries, detailed descriptions in terms of the background, history and author are provided. (3) Seeing an artwork online is not the same as watching it with our own eyes, as (4) the picture online does not show the texture or three-dimensional structure of the art, which is important to study.