

# Distributional Hypothesis

- ▶ Zellig Harris: words that occur in the same contexts tend to have similar meanings
- ▶ Firth: a word is known (characterized) by the company it keeps
- ▶ Statistical basis for lexical semantics
- ▶ How can we learn computational representations of words
  - ▶ Representational learning: unsupervised
  - ▶ Contrast with feature engineering

# Lemmas and Senses

- ▶ Lemma or citation form: general form of a word (e.g., mouse)
  - ▶ May have multiple senses
  - ▶ May come in multiple parts of speech
  - ▶ May cover variants (*word forms*) such as for plurals, gender, ...
- ▶ Homonymous lemmas
  - ▶ With multiple senses
  - ▶ Challenges in word sense disambiguation
- ▶ Principle of contrast: difference in form indicates difference in meaning

# Synonyms and Antonyms

- ▶ Synonyms: Words with identical meanings
  - ▶ Interchangeable without affecting *propositional* meaning
  - ▶ Are there any true synonyms?
- ▶ Antonyms: Words with opposite meanings
  - ▶ Opposite ends of a scale
  - ▶ Antonyms would be more similar than different
- ▶ Reversives: subclass of antonyms
  - ▶ Movement in opposite directions, e.g., rise versus fall

# Word Similarity

Crucial for solving many important NL tasks

- ▶ Similarity: Ask people
- ▶ Relatedness  $\approx$  association in psychology, e.g., coffee and cup
  - ▶ Semantic field: domain, e.g., surgery
  - ▶ Indicates relatedness, e.g., surgeon and scalpel

# Vector Space Model

Foundation of information retrieval since early 1960s

- ▶ Term-document matrix
  - ▶ A row for each word (term)
  - ▶ A column for each document
  - ▶ Each cell being the number of occurrences
  - ▶ Dimensions
    - ▶ Number of possible words in the corpus, e.g.,  $\approx [10^4, 10^5]$
    - ▶ Size of corpus, i.e., number of documents: highly variable (small, if you talk only of Shakespeare; medium, if New York Times; large, if Wikipedia or Yelp reviews)
- ▶ The vectors (distributions of words) provide some insight into the content even though they lose word order and grammatical structure

# Document Vectors and Word Vectors

- ▶ Document vector: each column vector represents a document
  - ▶ The document vectors are sparse
  - ▶ Each vector is a point in the  $10^5$  dimensional space (one dimension per word)
- ▶ Word vector: each row vector represents a word
  - ▶ Better extracted from another matrix

# Word-Word Matrix

- ▶  $|V| \times |V|$  matrix
  - ▶ Each row and column: a word
  - ▶ Each cell: number of times the row word appears in the *context* of the column word
  - ▶ The context could be
    - ▶ Entire document  $\Rightarrow$  co-occurrence in a document
    - ▶ Sliding window (e.g.,  $\pm 4$  words)  $\Rightarrow$  co-occurrence in the window

## Measuring Similarity

- ▶ Inner product  $\equiv$  dot product: Addition of element-wise products

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = \sum_i v_i w_i$$

- ▶ Highest for similar vectors
- ▶ Zero for orthogonal (dissimilar) vectors
- ▶ Inner product is biased by vector length

$$|\vec{v}| = \sqrt{\sum_i v_i^2}$$

- ▶ Cosine of the vectors: Inner product divided by length of each

$$\cos(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{|\vec{v}| |\vec{w}|}$$

- ▶ Normalize to unit length vectors if length doesn't matter
  - ▶ Cosine = inner product (when normalized for length)
  - ▶ Not suitable for applications based on clustering, for example



# TF-IDF: Term Frequency–Inverse Document Frequency

Basis of relevance; used in information retrieval

- ▶ TF: higher frequency indicates higher relevance

$$\text{tf}_{t,d} = \begin{cases} 1 + \log_{10} \text{count}(t, d) & \text{if count}(t, d) \text{ is positive} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ IDF: terms that occur selectively are more valuable when they do occur

$$\text{idf}_t = \log_{10} \frac{N}{\text{df}_t}$$

- ▶  $N$  is the total number of documents in the corpus
- ▶  $\text{df}_t$  is the number of occurrences in which  $t$  occurs
- ▶ TF-IDF weight

$$w_{t,d} = \text{tf}_{t,d} \times \text{idf}_t$$

- ▶ These weights become the vector elements

## Applying TF-IDF Vectors

- ▶ Word similarity as cosine of their vectors
- ▶ Define a document vector as the mean (centroid)

$$d_D = \frac{\sum_{t \in D} \vec{w}_t}{|D|}$$

- ▶  $D$ : document
- ▶  $w_t$ : TF-IDF vector for term  $t$

# Pointwise Mutual Information (PMI)

How often two words co-occur relative to if they were independent

- ▶ For a target word  $w$  and a context word  $c$

$$\text{PMI}(w, c) = \lg \frac{P(w, c)}{P(w)P(c)}$$

- ▶ Negative: less often than naïvely expected by chance
- ▶ Zero: exactly as naïvely expected by chance
- ▶ Positive: more often than naïvely expected by chance
- ▶ Not feasible to estimate for low values
  - ▶ If  $P(w) = P(c) = 10^{-6}$ , is  $P(w, c) \geq 10^{-12}$ ?
- ▶ PPMI: Positive PMI

$$\text{PPMI}(w_i, c_j) = \max\left(\lg \frac{P(w, c)}{P(w)P(c)}, 0\right)$$

## Estimating PPMI: Positive Pointwise Mutual Information

- ▶ Given co-occurrence matrix  $F = W \times C$ , estimate cells

$$p_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\sum_i^W \sum_j^C f_{ij}}$$

- ▶ Sum across columns to get a word's frequency

$$p_{i*} = \sum_j^C p_{ij}$$

- ▶ Sum across rows to get a context's frequency

$$p_{*j} = \sum_i^W p_{ij}$$

- ▶ Plug in these estimates into the PPMI definition

$$\text{PPMI}(w, c) = \max\left(\lg \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{i*} \times p_{*j}}, 0\right)$$

## Correcting PPMI's Bias

- ▶ PPMI is biased: gives high values to rare words
  - ▶ Replace  $P(c)$  by  $P_\alpha(c)$

$$P_\alpha(c) = \frac{\text{count}(c)^\alpha}{\sum_d \text{count}(d)^\alpha}$$

- ▶ Heuristically suggested  $\alpha = 0.75$
- ▶ Improved definition for PPMI

$$\text{PPMI}(w, c) = \max\left(\lg \frac{P(w, c)}{P(w)P_\alpha(c)}, 0\right)$$

# Word2Vec

- ▶ TF-IDF vectors are long and sparse
- ▶ How can we achieve short and dense vectors?
  - ▶ 50–500 dimensions
  - ▶ Dimensions of 100 and 300 are common
- ▶ Easier to learn on: fewer parameters
- ▶ Superior generalization and avoidance of overfitting
  - ▶ Better for synonymy since the words aren't themselves the dimensions

# Skip Gram with Negative Sampling

## Representation learning

- ▶ Instead of counting co-occurrence
- ▶ Train a classifier on a binary task: whether a word  $w$  will co-occur with another word  $v$  ( $\approx$  context)
- ▶ Implicit supervision—gold standard for free!
  - ▶ If we observe that  $v$  and  $w$  co-occur, then that's a positive label for the above classifier
  - ▶ A target word and a context word are positive examples
  - ▶ Other words, which don't occur in the target's context, are negative examples
- ▶ With a context window of  $\pm 2$  ( $c_{1:4}$ ), consider this snippet
 

...lemon, a tablespoon of apricot jam, a pinch of ...  
                                    $c_1$            $c_2$            $t$            $c_3$    $c_4$

  - ▶ Estimate probability  $P(\text{yes}|t, c)$

## Skip Gram Probability Estimation

- ▶ Intuition:  $P(\text{yes}|t, c) \propto \text{similarity}(t, c)$ 
  - ▶ That is, the embeddings of co-occurring words are similar vectors
- ▶ Similarity is given by inner product, which is not a probability distribution
- ▶ Transform via sigmoid

$$P(\text{yes}|t, c) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t \cdot c}}$$

$$P(\text{no}|t, c) = \frac{e^{-t \cdot c}}{1 + e^{-t \cdot c}}$$

- ▶ Naïve (but effective) assumption that context words are mutually independent

$$P(\text{yes}|t, c_{1:k}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t \cdot c_i}}$$



# Learning Skip Gram Embeddings

- ▶ Positive examples from the window
- ▶ Negative examples couple the target word with a random word ( $\neq$  target)
- ▶ Number of negative samples controlled by a parameter
- ▶ Probability of selecting a random word from the lexicon
  - ▶ Uniform
  - ▶ Proportional to frequency: won't hit rarer words a lot
  - ▶ Discounted as in the PPMI calculations, with  $\alpha = 0.75$

$$P_{\alpha}(w) = \frac{\text{count}(w)^{\alpha}}{\sum_v \text{count}(v)^{\alpha}}$$

- ▶ Maximize similarity with positive examples
- ▶ Minimize similarity with negative examples
  - ▶ Maximize and minimize inner products, respectively

# Learning Skip Gram Embeddings by Gradient Descent

- ▶ Two concurrent representations for each word
  - ▶ As target
  - ▶ As context
- ▶ Randomly initialize  $W$  (each column is a target) and  $C$  (each row is a context) matrices
- ▶ Iteratively, update  $W$  and  $C$  to increase similarity for target-context pairs and reduce similarity for target-noise pairs
- ▶ At the end, do any of these
  - ▶ Discard  $C$
  - ▶ Sum or average  $W^T$  and  $C$
  - ▶ Concatenate vectors for each word from  $W$  and  $C$
- ▶ Complexity increases with size of context and number of noise words considered

# CBOW: Continuous Bag of Words

Alternative formulation and architecture to skip gram

- ▶ Skip gram: maximize classification of words given nearby words
  - ▶ Predict the context
- ▶ CBOW
  - ▶ Classify the middle word given the context
- ▶ CBOW versus skip gram
  - ▶ CBOW is faster to train
  - ▶ CBOW is better on frequent words
  - ▶ CBOW requires more data

# Semantic Properties of Embeddings

Semantics  $\approx$  meaning

- ▶ Context window size
  - ▶ Shorter: immediate context  $\Rightarrow$  more syntactic
    - ▶  $\pm 2$  Hogwarts  $\rightarrow$  Sunnydale (school in a fantasy series)
  - ▶ Longer: richer context  $\Rightarrow$  more semantic
    - ▶ Topically related even if not similar
    - ▶  $\pm 5$  Hogwarts  $\rightarrow$  Dumbledore, half-blood
- ▶ Syntagmatic association: first-order co-occurrence
  - ▶ When two words often occur near each other
  - ▶ Wrote vis à vis book, poem
- ▶ Paradigmatic association: second-order co-occurrence
  - ▶ When two words often occur near the same other words
  - ▶ Wrote vis à vis said, remarked

# Analogy

A remarkable illustration of the magic of word embeddings

- ▶ Common to visualize embeddings by reducing the dimensions to two
  - ▶ t-SNE (T-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding), which produces a small dimension representation that respects similarity (Euclidean distance) between vectors
- ▶ *Offsets* (differences) between vectors reflect analogical relations
  - ▶  $\overrightarrow{\text{king}} - \overrightarrow{\text{man}} + \overrightarrow{\text{woman}} \approx \overrightarrow{\text{queen}}$
  - ▶  $\overrightarrow{\text{Paris}} - \overrightarrow{\text{France}} + \overrightarrow{\text{Italy}} \approx \overrightarrow{\text{Rome}}$
  - ▶ Similar ones for
    - ▶ Brother:Sister::Nephew:Niece
    - ▶ Brother:Sister::Uncle:Aunt

# Language Evolution

- ▶ Changes in meanings over time
- ▶ Consider corpora divided over time (decades)
  - ▶
  - ▶
- ▶ Framing changes, e.g., in news media
  - ▶ Obesity: lack of self-discipline in individuals  $\Rightarrow$  poor choices of ingredients by the food industry
- ▶ Likewise, changing biases with respect to ethnic names or female names

# Bias

- ▶ Word embeddings discover biases in language and highlight them
  - ▶ (From news text)  $\vec{\text{man}} - \vec{\text{programmer}} + \vec{\text{woman}} \approx \vec{\text{homemaker}}$
  - ▶  $\vec{\text{doctor}} - \vec{\text{father}} + \vec{\text{mother}} \approx \vec{\text{nurse}}$
- ▶ GloVE (an embedding approach) discovers implicit association biases
  - ▶ Against African Americans
  - ▶ Against old people
- ▶ Sometimes these biases would be hidden and simply misdirect the applications of embeddings, e.g., as features for machine learning
- ▶ These biases could also be read explicitly as “justification” by a computer of someone’s bias

# Evaluation

- ▶ Use manually labeled data, e.g., on conceptual similarity or analogies
- ▶ Use existing language tests, e.g., TOEFL (Test of English as a Foreign Language)



# FasText

- ▶ Deals with unknown words
- ▶ Uses character-level, i.e., *subword*, n-grams
  - ▶ ⟨ word start
  - ▶ ⟩ word end
  - ▶ Where  $\Rightarrow$  where, ⟨wh, whe, her, ere, re⟩ (original plus five trigrams)
- ▶ Learn the skipgram embedding for each n-gram
- ▶ Obtain word embedding as sum of the embeddings of its n-grams